JUL 8 1976

MICHAFI PODAK IR, CLERK

#### IN THE

## Supreme Court of the United States

NO. 75-1819

MRS. LOUELLA CUTHBERTSON, ET AL,
Petitioners

VS.

CHARLOTTE-MECKLENBURG BOARD OF EDUCATION

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

### BRIEF OF THE CHARLOTTE-MECKLENBURG BOARD OF EDUCATION IN OPPOSITION

WILLIAM W. STURGES
Weinstein, Sturges, Odom,
Bigger and Jonas, P.A.
810 Baxter Street
Charlotte, North Carolina
Attorney for the Respondent

# INDEX

| Pag   | ge |
|---|----|
| ARGUMENT AND CONCLUSION   | 1  |
| TABLE OF AUTHORITIES  |    |
| CASES:  |    |
| North Carolina State Board of Education v.<br>Swann, 402 U.S. 43, 91 S. Ct. 1284, 28 L. Ed.<br>2d 586 (1971)                      | 1  |
| Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of<br>Education, 402 U.S. 1, 91 S. Ct. 1267, 28 L. Ed.<br>2d 554 (1971)                      | 1  |
| Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of<br>Education, 328 F. Supp. 1346 (W.D.N.C. 1971),<br>aff'd, 453 F. 2d 1377 (4th Cir. 1972) | 1  |
| Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of<br>Education, 334 F. Supp. 623 (W.D.N.C. 1971)  | 1  |
| Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of<br>Education, 362 F. Supp. 1223 (W.D.N.C. 1973),<br>aff'd, 489 F. 2d 966 (4th Cir. 1974)  | 1  |
| Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of<br>Education, 379 F. Supp. 1102 (W.D.N.C. 1974)   | 1  |
| Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of Education, 67 F.R.D. 648 (W.D.N.C. 1975)  | 1  |
| Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of Education and Taylor, et al., 501 F. 2d 383   |    |
| (4th Cir. 1974)   | 1  |

#### ARGUMENT AND CONCLUSION

The issue raised in this case and on this petition for writ of certiorari is controlled by the decision of the United States Supreme Court in Swann v. Charlotte Mecklenburg Board of Education, 402 U.S. 1, 91 S. Ct. 1267, 28 L. Ed 2d 554 (1971) and by the subsequent Swann decisions of this Court of Appeals reported at 453 F. 2d 1377 (1972) and 489 F. 2d 966 (1974) and of the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina reported at 328 F. Supp. 1346 (1971), 334 F. Supp. 623 (1971), 362 F. Supp. 1223 (1973), 379 F. Supp. 1102 (1974) and 67 F.R.D. 648 (1975). See also North Carolina State Board of Education v. Swann, 402 U.S. 43, 91 S. Ct. 1284, 28 L. Ed. 2d 586 (1971) and Swann v. Charlotte Mecklenburg Board of Education and Taylor et al., 501 F.2d 383.

On account of those decisions the petition for a writ of certiorari should be denied.

Respectfully submitted, WILLIAM W. STURGES Attorney for Respondent